PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORI	TY	PCT
AALBERS, Arnt, Reinier DE VRIES & METMAN Overschiestraat 180 1062 XK AMSTERDAM	V	VRITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66)
:	Date of mailing (day month year)	02/08/2004
Applicant's or agent's file reference WO 6050-Lg/jdh	REPLY DUE	within 2 / 00 months/days
International application No. International filing d	ate (day month year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/EP03/50993 12/12/2003		20/12/2002
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC		
H01R13/502		
Applicant		
FCI		
I X Basis of the opinion II Priority III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to not IV Lack of unity of invention V X Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with recitations and explanations supporting such states VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application	regard to novelty, inventive	
VIII Certain observations on the international application		
3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d). How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.		
If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination	n report will be established	on the basis of this opinion.
4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69	.2 is:20/04	1/2005 . Sisches Patentame
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/	Authorized officer	- Jan
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Netherlands Tel.: (+31-70) 340-2040 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Examiner Formalities officer (incl. extension of tin Tel. (+49-89) 2399	ne limits)
Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (march 2002)		Office europe

- I. Basis of the opinion
- 1. The basis of this written opinion is the application as originally filed.
- V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability
- 1. In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in at least some of the claims does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claims references).
- 2. If amendments are filed, the applicant should comply with the requirements of Rule 66.8 PCT and indicate the basis of the amendments in the documents of the application as originally filed (Article 34 (2) (b) PCT) otherwise these amendments may not be taken into consideration for the establishment of the international preliminary examination report. The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that if the application contains an unnecessary plurality of independent claims, no examination of any of the claims will be carried out.
- NB: Should the applicant decide to request detailed substantive examination, then an international preliminary examination report will normally be established directly. Exceptionally the examiner may draw up a second written opinion, should this be explicitly requested.